



MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Violet Varona-Lukens, Executive Officer-
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
Los Angeles, California 90012

Director of Planning

At its meeting held May 27, 2003 the Board took the following action:

12

At the time and place regularly set, notice having been duly given, the following item was called up:

Combined hearing on the following zoning matters and on the certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report relating to property located north of the Antelope Valley Fwy. and Soledad Cyn. Rd. between Shadow Pines Blvd., and Agua Dulce Canyon Rd., Soledad Zoned District, petitioned by Valley Canyon Partners:

Sub-Plan Amendment Case No. 96-044-(5), an amendment to the Los Angeles Countywide General Plan to change the land use designation from R-Non-Urban to 1 Low Density Residential; and an amendment to the Santa Clarita Valley Area Plan from N2- Non Urban 2 to U1- Urban

Zone Change Case No. 96-044-(5), from A-2-1 to R-1-6,000, R-1-7,000, R-1-8,000, R-1-10,000, R-1-15,000, and R-1-20,000

Conditional Use Permit Case No. 96-044-(5), to authorize a density controlled development and to ensure the project is developed in compliance with hillside management design criteria

Oak Tree Permit Case No. 96-044-(5), to permit the removal of 4 oak trees

Vesting Tentative Tract Map Case No. 48086-(5), to create 542 single-family lots, 1 fire station lot, 1 sheriff sub-station lot, 2 park lots and 3 open space lots

(Continued on Page 2)

12 (Continued)

Ellen Fitzgerald, representing the Department of Regional Planning was duly sworn and testified. Opportunity was given for interested persons to address the Board. Steve Hunter, Lynne Plambeck and Paul Edelman addressed the Board. Written correspondence was presented.

Supervisor Antonovich made the following statement:

“Staff of the Department of Regional Planning has received inquiries from interested parties regarding various issues surrounding the proposed Spring Canyon project. Staff has not had adequate time in which to review these inquiries and prepare adequate responses. The Board does not, therefore, have all of the required California Environmental Quality Act information necessary to move forward in rendering a determination concerning the Spring Canyon project.

“The Board should proceed with caution to ensure that our actions are consistent with State law. Staff should be provided with ample opportunity to review all comments and prepare a thorough response for our consideration.”

Therefore, on motion of Supervisor Antonovich and by common consent, there being no objection (Supervisor Yaroslavsky being absent), the Board instructed the Director of Planning to prepare the final environmental documentation; and continued the hearing to July 22, 2003 at 9:30 a.m.

04052703-12

Copies distributed

Each Supervisor
County Counsel
Director of Internal Services
Director of Public Works
Valley Canyon Partners